



An Architecture for Seamless Mobility in Spontaneous Wireless Mesh Networks

*Franck Rousseau, Yan Grunenberger, Vincent Untz,
Eryk Schiller, Paul Starzetz, Fabrice Theoleyre, Martin
Heusse, Olivier Alphand, Andrzej Duda*


LIG - Grenoble Informatics Laboratory

duda@imag.fr

Overview

- Spontaneous wireless mesh networks
- Principles of seamless mobility
- Pseudo-geographical addressing space
- Geographical Ballistic Routing
- Joining the mesh and handoff
- Conclusions


Spontaneous mesh



- Self-forming - follow human structures
- Autonomic - no (or limited) administration
- Dense, large scale, not only for Internet access

Principles of seamless mobility


- Separation between Identities and Addresses
 - node identified by stable end-point identifier EID
 - address reflects current position
 - EID-ADDR binding stored in a distributed Location Service
- Optimized for local mobility
 - the most common case - movement in a closed vicinity
 - lazy location update - do not notify about small position changes



Main design choices


- Addresses in a coordinate space
 - virtual topological space anchored with some geographical positions - *pseudo-geographical coordinates*: $d_{\text{virt}}(A_1, A_2) \sim d_{\text{real}}(A_1, A_2)$
 - possible merging of subspaces
- Geographical routing in the coordinate space
 - take advantage of little routing information
 - avoid drawbacks of greedy geo-routing
- Local fish-eye view
 - precise knowledge of your neighborhood
 - approximate view of distant destinations

Principles of the architecture




Addressing space - spring model

- Nodes
 - with exact geographical position (GPS or configured)
 - estimated position
- Spring model
 - minimize a potential function that depends on node positions



Greedy geographical routing




■ Greedy routing

- forward to a neighbor closer to the destination
- problems: voids or obstacles
- recover from local minima: face routing (right-hand rule and face changing), still may be not optimal

Geographical Ballistic Routing


- Build upon topologically consistent address space
- Combine two approaches
 - long distance geographical routing
 - short distance topological routing
- Long distance - *geo-routing*
 - known direction
 - route is known globally, implicitly (rough direction to destination)
- Short distance - *topo-routing*
 - known topology of the k -neighborhood
 - route is known locally, explicitly (k-hop neighbors)

Geographical Ballistic Routing




Joining the mesh

- Fast lightweight association
 - mesh routers send beacons
 - MAC addresses, channels, load indicators
- Immediate basic connectivity
 - mobile can send packets to reach a community,
 - if mobile is accepted, mesh router updates Location Service with mobile EID and router Address
 - mobile can then receive packets via mesh router



Handoff



- Fast lightweight handoff
 - choose a neighbor (A_2), send HANDOFF request to A_1
 - A_2 starts forwarding packets
 - A_2 is close - packets to EID_1 still sent to A_1 , but diverted to A_2
 - lazy update of the Location Service

Conclusions

- Simple yet powerful basic principles:
 - mobility management based on separation of EIDs and addresses
 - pseudo-geographical addressing space enabling directional routing
 - fast association and handoff
 - lazy location update
- Preliminary work: simulation of the addressing scheme and geographical forwarding, first implementation on Linux
- Future work: refinement of the design, full implementation of geo-routing and mobility